

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—But the Hon'ble Deputy Minister says that the number of security officers is one.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—There is only one security officer.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is the salary of all the officers put together Rs. 485 ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have already said that there is only one security officer of the gazetted rank. I have given details of the officers of non-gazetted rank and the expenditure incurred on the Security Officer is Rs. 485 including D.A. and salary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಕಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ರೋಡ್ ಟ್ರಾನ್ಸ್‌ಫೋರ್ಮರ್ ಇರಾಪೆಯ ಸೂಪರ್ ವೈಜರುಗಳಿಗೆ ದಿನ ಭತ್ಯೆ 1½ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದೇ ಬೊಂದಾಯಿ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕದ ಸೂಪರ್ ವೈಜರಿಗೆ ದಿನ ಭತ್ಯೆ 10 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದು ಸೆಕ್ಯೂರಿಟಿ ಆಫೀಸರು ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಅವರ ಕೈಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಇದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಲ್ಲ, ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೊಡಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಸೆಕ್ಯೂರಿಟಿ ಆಫೀಸರ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಏನು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have to define the powers and duties of the security officer. He will be in charge of the watch and ward section.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it a fact that our Ministers also are having security officers when they go on tour ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question altogether. That does not arise.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—What are the qualifications and the duties of the security officer ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Regarding the qualifications, I do not have that information, but his duties are, exercising the administrative control of the security branch and affairs of the Bombay State Road Transport Corporation as guided by the Chief Security Officer.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Has he been supplied with any Government vehicle ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am sorry I do not have that information.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is he permanently recruited or loaned by the Bombay Government ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—He is a Bombay officer transferred to the Mysore State.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—
(c) ಕ್ಲಾಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಟಿಕೆಟ್ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರಯಾಣಿಕರಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವರಾ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದು ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ ಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—
ಅವರು ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೇ, ಅದವಾ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. Sometimes what happens is, some of the clauses might have been disallowed; the English copy is correct in the sense that if some of the clauses have been disallowed, they will not be entered here. The Kannada copy must have been printed before the question might have been examined.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—
I take it that the Kannada copy also is an official version placed before us.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಬಿ. ಮುರಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಮುಂದ್ವಾರಾಕ್ಷಸ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದು ಎರಡನೆಯ ಸಲ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

Feeder Channel from Yagachi River to Harnahalli Tank.

Q.—1935. Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA (Arsikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have received any representation before to construct a feeder channel from Yagachi river *via* Seegegudda to the tank of Harnahalli in Arsikere taluk;

(b) the action taken thereon ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) None before; there is, however, one petition by the Member himself during December 1957.

(b) Investigation is being taken up.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—With regard to the answer to (a), may I know by which officer the information is furnished ?

*Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Is it necessary to disclose the concerned officer? The office will supply the information to the Government.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Sir, I just wanted to know whether it was in the Secretariat level or Chief Engineer's office level or District Executive Engineer's office level that information was given.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The usual thing is the local officers will submit their report or information to their immediate superior officers and they in their turn will send it to their superior officer and ultimately it comes to the Chief Engineer and on to Government. That is the procedure followed usually.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Is it not a fact that this matter was represented many times before and even in 1946 and also a survey was made had an estimate prepared?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—According to information, the Government have received no such petition or representation.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—In answer to (b) it has been stated that investigation is being taken up. The petition is received in the month of December. May I know the exact stage of investigation that is being taken up?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—This is a very old question. I might inform my Hon'ble friend Sri Karisiddappa that this question came up not even in 1946 but even earlier than that; as far back as 1911, the question was considered by Government at various stages and at various levels and it has been found to be not feasible because the water that is supposed to be available for being made over to Vedavathi Valley is rather doubtful. After 1st of November each year, there won't be any flow in the river itself. Therefore, whether it is possible to take up this scheme has been considered as early as 1911 and it has been given up. There is difficulty not only with regard to availability of water, but also there is difficulty for channel to be taken up in a deep cut for a mile or so at a depth of 70 feet and so, considering all these facts, long back this question seems to

have been dropped. I do not know about 1946 representation, because the file does not contain any such representation. Very recently, Sri Karisiddappa himself made a representation and it has been referred to concerned authorities to take up investigation of the facts. The quantity of water available when the monsoon ceases, whether there will be enough flow at all and the cost involved in taking up the channel, if it is feasible to have a channel of 70 feet cut—all these aspects will have to be investigated and examined and then a report has to be sent to Government. This is the actual position.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—May I know whether there is any separate staff to investigate these matters?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Recently the Government had thought it very necessary to create a circle for surveying and investigation and this work also will perhaps be taken up by that staff.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಯುಗಚಿ ನದಿಯಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಯ ವರೆಗೂ ನಾಲೆಯನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಎಸ್ತಿಮೇಟ್ ಅಥವಾ ಒಂದು ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಮಾಡುವುದು ತಾನೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಮಾಡಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ನೀವು ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಹೇಳಿದೆ, ಯುಗಚಿ ನದಿಯಿಂದ ವೇದಾವತಿ ವ್ಯಾಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕೆರೆಗಳಿಗೆ ನೀರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ವೇದಾವತಿ ಅಥವಾ ಮಾರೀಕಣವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಾ|| ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು ಕೇಳುವ ಕೆರೆಗಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾರೀಕಣವೆ ವ್ಯಾಲಿಯನ್ನು 1911 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಕಾಲುವೆಯನ್ನು 70 ಅಡಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—20 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ನೀರನ್ನು ತರುಗಿಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಎಸ್ತಿಮೇಟ್ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಕಾಲುವೆಯನ್ನು ಬಹಳ ಆಳದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬರ್ಚು ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೊಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನವಂಬರ್ ಅದ್ಮೇಲೆ ನೀರೇ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಳೆಗಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಷ್ಟು ನೀರನ್ನು ವೇದಾವತಿ ವ್ಯಾಲಿ ತರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾರಿ

ಕಣಿವೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದರೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

Retrenchment of Fieldmen and Demonstration Maistries in the Agricultural Department in South Kanara District and Kollegal Taluk.

Q.—1955. Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that Fieldmen and Demonstration Maistries in the Agricultural Department in the the district of South Kanara and Kollegal taluk, where Community Development Blocks and N.E.S. had been introduced, are going to be retrenched in the near future;

(b) whether any order has been issued to that effect;

(c) whether the Fieldmen and Demonstration Maistries have appealed to the Government to reconsider their case; and if so, the action taken, if any, thereon;

(d) the number of temporary and permanent persons, with their length of service who will be affected by this order;

(e) whether they have any alternate plans to provide employment to the retrenched personnel?

A.—Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) There was a proposal from Planning and Community Development Department to retrench them.

(b) No

(c) Yes. They have been asked to be continued in service.

(d) About 6 temporary fieldmen and 41 temporary demonstration maistries of varying length of service from 1 to 8 years have been affected.

(e) Yes. Such of them as are suitable are proposed to be absorbed.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the pay of these Government servants is being paid very irregularly once in five months or once in six months and after a lot of protest from them?

* Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—What has happened, the Hon'ble Member is also aware of. There was a proposal to retrench them. But subsequently on the representation, we have taken them over on temporary basis. Therefore, there was some delay in making payment. After sanction was given payment was regularly made.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—In view of the fact that these Government servants have been working for nearly 8 years, will the Government consider their case sympathetically and try to absorb them in some Department?

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—There are two categories, one fieldmen and the other demonstration maistries. With regard to fieldmen, there will be no difficulty. They will be selected for training as village level workers and absorbed. With regard to demonstration maistries, there are qualified and unqualified maistries. Those who are qualified will be promoted as fieldmen and absorbed. With regard to other set of people who are not qualified to be promoted as fieldmen, there will be some difficulty. They are only skilled labourers till now and their cases will be considered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ, ಕೆಲ ನಕ್ಕ ಯೋಗ್ಯರಾದವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲನಕ್ಕ ಯೋಗ್ಯರಲ್ಲದವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲನದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫೈಡ್ ಮತ್ತು ಅನ್ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫೈಡ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆ ವಿಚಾರ ಇನ್ನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—There was a proposal from the Planning and Community Development Department to retrench them. Food production ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದೂ ಮತ್ತು ಇವರನ್ನು ರಿಟ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದೂ—will they go together?

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member has misunderstood that we are retrenching them. Retrenchment means altogether removing the personnel. Here, in the areas where these people are working, community project was introduced and N.E.S. blocks were also introduced. Therefore, the village level workers were placed in charge of these works. Therefore, they have to be removed. Otherwise it would be a duplication of the staff.